Sea-level rise due to polar ice-sheet mass loss during past warm periods


BACKGROUND: Although thermal expansion of seawater and melting of mountain glaciers have dominated global mean sea level (GMSL) rise over the last century, mass loss from the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets is expected to exceed other contributions to GMSL rise under future warming. To better constrain polar ice-sheet response to warmer temperatures, we draw on evidence from interglacial periods in the geologic record that experienced warmer polar temperatures and higher GMSLs than present. Coastal records of sea level from these previous warm periods demonstrate geographic variability because of the influence of several geophysical processes that operate across a range of magnitudes and time scales. Inferring GMSL and ice-volume changes from these reconstructions is nontrivial and generally requires the use of geophysical models.

ADVANCES: Interdisciplinary studies of geologic archives have ushered in a new era of deciphering magnitudes, rates, and sources of sea-level rise. Advances in our understanding of polar ice-sheet response to warmer climates have been made through an increase in the number and geographic distribution of sea-level reconstructions, better ice-sheet constraints, and the recognition that several geophysical processes cause spatially complex patterns in sea level. In particular, accounting for glacial isostatic processes helps to decipher spatial variability in coastal sea-level records and has reconciled a number of site-specific sea-level reconstructions for warm periods that have occurred within the past several thousand years. This enables us to infer that during recent interglacial periods, small increases in global mean temperature and just a few degrees of polar warming relative to the preindustrial period resulted in ≥6 m of GMSL rise. Mantle-driven dynamic topography introduces large uncertainties on longer time scales, affecting reconstructions for time periods such as the Pliocene (~3 million years ago), when atmospheric CO₂ was ~400 parts per million (ppm), similar to that of the present. Both modeling and field evidence suggest that polar ice sheets were smaller during this time period, but because dynamic topography can cause tens of meters of vertical displacement at Earth’s surface on million-year time scales and uncertainty in model predictions of this signal are large, it is currently not possible to make a precise estimate of peak GMSL during the Pliocene.

OUTLOOK: Our present climate is warming to a level associated with significant polar ice-sheet loss in the past, but a number of challenges remain to further constrain ice-sheet sensitivity to climate change using paleo-sea level records. Improving our understanding of rates of GMSL rise due to polar ice-mass loss is perhaps the most societally relevant information the paleorecord can provide, yet robust estimates of rates of GMSL rise associated with polar ice-sheet retreat and/or collapse remain a weakness in existing sea-level reconstructions. Improving existing magnitudes, rates, and sources of GMSL rise will require a better (global) distribution of sea-level reconstructions with high temporal resolution and precise elevations and should include sites close to present and former ice sheets. Translating such sea-level data into a robust GMSL signal demands integration with geophysical models, which in turn can be tested through improved spatial and temporal sampling of coastal records.

Further development is needed to refine estimates of past sea level from geochemical proxies. In particular, paired oxygen isotope and Mg/Ca data are currently unable to provide confident, quantitative estimates of peak sea level during these past warm periods. In some GMSL reconstructions, polar ice-sheet retreat is inferred from the total GMSL budget, but identifying the specific ice-sheet sources is currently hindered by limited field evidence at high latitudes. Given the paucity of such data, emerging geochemical and geophysical techniques show promise for identifying the sectors of the ice sheets that were most vulnerable to collapse in the past and perhaps will be again in the future.
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Interdisciplinary studies of geologic archives have ushered in a new era of deciphering magnitudes, rates, and sources of sea-level rise from polar ice-sheet loss during past warm periods. Accounting for glacial isostatic processes helps to reconcile spatial variability in peak sea level during marine isotope stages 5e and 11, when the global mean reached 6 to 9 meters and 6 to 13 meters higher than present, respectively. Dynamic topography introduces large uncertainties on longer time scales, precluding robust sea-level estimates for intervals such as the Pliocene. Present climate is warming to a level associated with significant polar ice-sheet loss in the past. Here, we outline advances and challenges involved in constraining ice-sheet sensitivity to climate change with use of paleo–sea-level records.

Global mean sea level (GMSL) has risen over the past century, largely in response to global warming (~0.19 m rise in GMSL between 1901 and 2010) (1). The response to global warming includes thermal expansion of ocean water as well as mass loss from glaciers and ice sheets, all of which increase the volume of water in the ocean and cause the sea level to rise. Recent GMSL rise has been dominated by thermal expansion and glacier loss, which collectively explain ~75% of the observed rise since 1971 (1). The contribution from mass loss from the Greenland (GrIS) and Antarctic (AIS) ice sheets has increased since the early 1990s, composing ~19% of the total observed rise in GMSL between 1993 and 2010 (1), and is expected to exceed other contributions under future sustained warming (e.g., (2)). Estimates from short, recent time periods—though not as robust as analyses of longer records because of the dominance of interannual variability—suggest that polar ice-sheet loss may now contribute as much as ~40% of the total observed rise in GMSL between 2003 and 2008 (3, 4).

These same processes contributed to higher-than-present sea levels in the past when global mean temperature was warmer than the preindustrial period (before 1750). However, because mountain glaciers and thermal expansion can only explain ~1 to 1.5 m of GMSL rise for the 1° to 3°C warming associated with these periods (5, 6), evidence for former GMSL exceeding this amount requires a contribution from the GrIS and/or AIS. Understanding how polar ice sheets lost mass and contributed to sea-level rise during past warm periods can provide insights into their sensitivity to climate change, as well as constrain process-based models used to project ice-sheet response to future climate change.

Many studies have used data and/or models to determine the sensitivity of ice sheets to changes in temperature or atmospheric CO2 over long time scales (2, 7–12). Given the recent increases in greenhouse gases (GHGs) and global mean temperature, the present ice sheets are out of equilibrium with the climate, raising important questions regarding their potential future contribution to sea-level rise: (i) What is the equilibrium sea-level rise for a given warming scenario? (ii) How quickly will the GrIS and the AIS respond to present and future radiative forcing and associated warming, and what will be the accompanying rates of sea-level change? (iii) What are the source regions of the ice mass loss, a factor that will strongly influence the geographic pattern of future sea-level change (1, 2, 13).

To address these questions, we examine how our understanding of ice-sheet response during past warm periods is evolving through the progressive integration of several disciplines. In particular, we consider observational evidence of paleo–sea levels and ice-sheet reconstructions with climate, ice-sheet, and solid Earth models. For each time period, we identify key geophysical signals that must be quantitatively estimated and removed from relative sea level (RLS; refers to the local height of sea level) records in order to infer past changes in GMSL (Box 1). Last, we review the state of knowledge regarding the magnitudes, rates, and sources of sea-level rise during several of the most prominent interglacial peaks of the last three million years, including the mid-Pliocene warm period (MPWP, ~3 million years ago (Ma)), marine isotope stage (MIS) 11 (~400 thousand years ago (ka)), and MIS 5e (~125 ka) (Fig. 1).

Mid-Pliocene warm period (~3.2 to 3.0 Ma)

The MPWP comprises a series of orbitally paced (~41-thousand year (kyr)) climate cycles associated with atmospheric CO2 in the range of 350 to 450 parts per million (ppm) (14, 15). Peak global mean temperatures derived from general circulation model simulations average 1.9° to 3.6°C warmer than preindustrial (16). Some Arctic temperature reconstructions indicate warming of 8°C or more, whereas some Southern Ocean records suggest warming of 1° to 3°C (17). However, these temperature estimates are uncertain and, in some cases, may not correlate precisely to the MPWP time interval. Both modeling and field evidence suggest that polar ice sheets were smaller during the MPWP, but constraints on the magnitude of GMSL maxima during the warm extremes as inferred from RSL reconstructions are highly uncertain (18).

In the Southern Hemisphere, the West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS) experienced multiple retreat and advance phases during the Pliocene (19). Studies of ice-rafted debris (IRD) suggest that portions of the East Antarctic Ice Sheet (EAIS) experienced retreat during parts of the early to middle Pliocene (20), apparently paced by precessional (23-ky) cycles (21). In the Northern Hemisphere, there are no firm observational constraints on changes in the size of the MPWP GrIS. Ice-sheet models, on the other hand, simulate retreat in both Greenland (22) and Antarctica (12) in response to imposed Pliocene climate forcing, raising GMSL by ~7 m and ~6 m, respectively.

Many early studies of Pliocene coastal records considered Earth to be rigid and inferred a uniform GMSL rise across a wide range of elevations (+15 to 60 m; see table 1 in (18)). Some studies attempted to correct individual RSL records for the influence of local tectonics or subsidence (23–27). More recently, Raymo et al. (18) corrected Pliocene RSL observations for the effects of glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA), but the global variability in the elevation of observed shorelines remains substantial, ranging over tens of meters. This is thought to be due to the influence of mantle-driven dynamic topography (Box 1), as well as uncertainties in the elevation data and the age of shoreline features (18, 28, 29). Improvements in model parameters for GIA and dynamic topography and in dating of coastal records are needed to better constrain estimates of Pliocene sea level from coastal records.

The amplitude of negative excursions in benthic oxygen isotope (δ18O) records during the MPWP (~0.4 per mil (%)) relative to the Holocene (1) may imply higher GMSL than today, but extracting the ice-volume signal from the δ18O calcite record remains a challenge. Typical analytical errors in δ18O measurements translate to large uncertainties in sea level (~±10 m). Moreover, inferring ice volume requires that the
Fig. 1. Stacked benthic δ18O with time periods discussed in text. Benthic δ18O [green curve–LR04 (32)] provides a combined signal of ice volume and temperature deep into the geologic past (106). Physical processes that contribute to RSL signals are depicted as blue bars. The length of the blue bar indicates timespan over which the process is active; shading denotes time interval where the process can have the most significant influence on RSL reconstructions. For example, the rates of dynamic topography are slow enough that it generally is only a significant factor for reconstructing older paleoshorelines, as denoted by shading. GIA can dominate spatial variability in RSL across all of these time scales.

The corresponding δ18O used to isolate the temperature portion of the Mg/Ca of the benthic calcite record can be calibration (32), diagenesis of calcite (33), and long-term seawater Mg/Ca variability (34) are significant. Until these effects are better understood and able to be isolated, the δ18O proxy records will continue to be plagued by uncertainties as large as the signal we are seeking. In light of these considerations, the Miller et al. (24) peak GMSL estimate of 21 ± 10 m at the end of the MPWP (~2.95 Ma) that is based on evidence from non-GIA-corrected coastal records, benthic δ18O (35), and paired δ18O-Mg/Ca records probably carries more uncertainty than the quoted range.

MIS 11 (~424,000 to 395,000 years ago)

MIS 11 was an unusually long interglacial period (~30 ky) with a highly uncertain global average temperature (estimates range from slightly cooler than MIS 5e (see below) (36, 37) up to ~2°C warmer than preindustrial (38)) and atmospheric CO2 peaking at 286 ppm (similar to preindustrial values) (39). Limited proxy data indicate Arctic summer maximum air and sea surface temperatures reaching up to 4°C and 9°C warmer, respectively, than peaks attained during the Holocene or MIS 5e (40, 41). Antarctic ice-core analyses indicate temperatures ~2.6°C warmer than preindustrial (42). Climate models forced by insolation and GHG concentrations during MIS 11, however, simulate only slightly warmer global mean temperatures (~0.1°C) than for the Holocene (38, 43). Hence, if the limited proxy data are correct in implying enhanced warmth in the polar regions, the underlying cause of the warmer climates is unresolved.

Reconstructions of MIS 11 GMSL suggest that it was higher than present. Several records document at least partial retreat of the GrIS during MIS 11, suggesting that it contributed to higher GMSL. Pollen in marine records offshore of southeast Greenland indicates the development of spruce forest over parts of now-ice-covered regions (44). Likewise, biomolecules from the base of the Dye-3 ice core indicate a forested southern Greenland that could be from MIS 11, although the age of these molecules is uncertain (45). A cessation of ice-sheet-eroded sediment discharge and IRD suggests ice-margin retreat from the southern Greenland coast (46), whereas continued IRD deposition in the northeast demonstrates the persistence of marine-terminating ice over northeastern Greenland (47). Comparison of these constraints with ice-sheet models suggests that the GrIS could have contributed 4.5 to 6 m to GMSL rise above present (46). Higher GMSL estimates thus require an Antarctic contribution, but few geologic constraints on AIS history exist for MIS 11 (46).

Early work on interpreting MIS 11 coastal records assumed a geographically uniform GMSL change, with sea-level estimates ranging from ~3 m (49) to ~20 m (50). If the records are all the same age, the large range may largely reflect geographic variability in the RSL signal associated with GIA and dynamic topography (Box 1 and Fig. 2). For example, when corrected for GIA, MIS 11 RSL in the Bermuda and Bahamas regions (~20 m above present) suggests a peak GMSL of only 6 to 13 m above present (51), a level that would require loss of the GrIS and/or sectors of the AIS. This estimate is consistent with the 8- to 11.5-m estimate based on paleoshorelines in South Africa that have been corrected for GIA effects and local tectonic motion (52, 53). Overall, multiple lines of evidence seem to agree that GMSL was 6 to 13 m higher near the end of MIS 11.

By comparison, paired δ18O-Mg/Ca measurements of benthic foraminifera suggest GMSL during MIS 11 in excess of 50 ± 20 m above present (31, 54), although, as with the MPWP reconstructions, the uncertainties on these estimates may be much larger. On the other hand, the Red Sea planktic δ18O record suggests that RSL reached just above present (1 ± 2 m at 2σ) (55, 56). Additional contributions from GIA and possibly also from dynamic topography to the sill depth of the Red Sea over the last several hundred ky that are not captured in the present reconstruction could impart additional uncertainties. The large uncertainty and the lack of agreement associated with all of these δ18O-based records point to the difficulty in using them to tightly constrain peak GMSL during previous warm periods.

MIS 5e (~129,000 to 116,000 years ago)

We consider the time interval of MIS 5e when GMSL was above present (~129 to 116 ka) (8, 57). Relative to the preindustrial period, model simulations indicate little global average temperature change during MIS 5e, whereas proxy data imply ~3°C of warming, but with possible spatial and temporal sampling biases (58). Greenland temperatures peaked between ~5° to 8°C above preindustrial (59, 60), and Antarctic temperatures were ~3° to 5°C warmer (42).

Shorelines that developed during the MIS 5e sea-level highstand are the best-preserved and most geographically widespread record of a higher-than-present GMSL during a previous warm period. Recent global compilations of RSL data combined with GIA modeling indicate that peak GMSL was higher than the previous long-standing estimate (4 to 6 m), in the range of ~6 to 9 m
above present (61, 62), in agreement with site-specific, GIA-corrected coastal records in the Seychelles at 7.6 ± 1.7 m (63) and in Western Australia at 9 m (no uncertainty reported) (64) above present (Fig. 3). The Red Sea planktic δ18O record places peak RSL values during MIS 5e at 6.7 ± 3.4 m (maximum probability with 95% probability envelope) (65). Detailed GIA corrections for the temporal evolution of the hydraulic geometry of the Red Sea during MIS 5e are not applied to this planktic δ18O record and could change the peak value by a few meters (66). Paired benthic δ18O-Mg/Ca data (31, 54) reflect high uncertainty and poor agreement for peak GMSL when compared with the coastal records (Fig. 4).

The 3-m uncertainty range in peak GMSL derived from coastal records (i.e., ~6 to 9 m) presents a challenge when assessing relative GrIS and AIS contributions. Ice-core and marine records show that the GrIS was smaller than present during MIS 5e, with substantial (but not complete) retreat of the southern sector at the same time as peak GMSL ~122 to 119 ka (60, 67). Recent modeling studies suggest that total GrIS mass loss was between 0.6 to 3.5 m (5, 68), an additional contribution is required from the AIS to explain peak GMSL during MIS 5e. However, direct evidence for AIS retreat at this time is lacking, with only some poorly dated records that suggest that WAIS retreated during some previous interglacial periods, including possibly MIS 5e (69).

The primary means of establishing an accurate and precise chronology for MIS 5e sea level is through U-Th dating of fossil corals that lived near the sea surface. Existing chronologies suggest regional differences in the timing of peak MIS 5e RSL. In some cases, this reflects variable diagenesis that causes open-system conditions in the corals with respect to U and Th isotopes [e.g., (70)]. However, differences in timing may also be real and reflect the spatially variable influence of GIA (67). Most studies suggest that peak GMSL occurred sometime after ~125 ka, usually in the range of ~122 to 119 ka (64, 71–74), but the timing of AIS versus GrIS contributions to maximum GMSL remains unresolved.

Differences in RSL reconstructions from site to site yield a range of interpretations about the evolution of GMSL during the MIS 5e highstand, including (i) a stable sea level (57), (ii) two peaks separated by an ephemeral drop in sea level (72, 73), (iii) a stable sea level followed by a rapid sea-level rise (64, 71), and (iv) three to four peaks in sea level reflecting repeated sea-level oscillations (74, 75). As yet, no consensus exists regarding this suite of scenarios, but robust sedimentary evidence from multiple coastal sites argues for at least one and possibly several meter-scale sea-level oscillations during the course of the highstand [e.g., (64, 71–73, 76)]. These data suggest...
dynamic behavior of polar ice sheets at a time when global mean temperature was similar to present. It is not clear whether such variability was driven by one unstable ice-sheet sector or by differences in the phasing of ice-mass changes in multiple ice-sheet sectors across the duration of MIS 5e.

Estimated rates of sea-level change associated with these oscillations range from 1 to 7 m ky$^{-1}$ (74, 75, 77). Resolving rates on shorter time scales is hindered by the precision of the dating and RSL reconstruction methods. Even the m ky$^{-1}$ rates listed above are highly uncertain if one incorporates a full consideration of observational errors. For example, MIS 5e reefs in the Bahamas have uncertainties in coral paleowater depths of >5 m (based on the assumed depth range of Acropora palmata) or more (for the Montastrea sp. and Diploria sp.), which are similar in magnitude to the inferred change in sea level (4 to 6 m) (72, 74). As another example, meter-scale RSL fluctuations during the MIS 5e highstand inferred from the Red Sea planktic δ¹⁸O record are not replicated between the two cores used in the analysis and the variability largely falls within the reported uncertainty, so it is not possible to reject the null hypothesis that RSL was stable based on this record (75). Thus, despite the clear sedimentary evidence for sea-level variability in during MIS 5e, associated rates of GMSL change remain poorly resolved.

The Holocene (11,700 years ago to present)

Global mean temperatures during the Holocene have ranged from ~0.75°C warmer (from ~9.5 to 5.5 ka) than preindustrial temperatures (78) to preindustrial levels (79). Although this temperature reconstruction is relatively well constrained by proxy data, models simulate a warming trend through the Holocene, which may be an indication of uncertainty in the reconstructions, the models, or both (80).

The Holocene has the most abundant and highly resolved RSL reconstructions in comparison to previous interglacial periods (Fig. 2). In addition, the history of ice-sheet retreat is relatively well constrained, particularly in the Northern Hemisphere. Detailed sea-level reconstructions from the past few millennia are important for constraining the natural variability in sea level and providing context for evaluating current and future change (1, 81).

GMSL was ~60 m lower than present at the beginning of the Holocene, largely because of the remaining Scandinavian and Laurentide ice sheets as well as a greater-than-present AIS volume. Rates of GMSL rise slowed by ~7 ka after the final deglaciation of the Laurentide Ice Sheet— from ~15 m ky$^{-1}$ between ~11.4 to 8.2 ka to ~1 m ky$^{-1}$ or less for the remainder of the preindustrial Holocene (82). Only a few meters of ice-sheet loss occurred between ~7 and ~2 ka (82, 83), which is thought to be dominated by loss from the AIS (84, 85). Field data and ice-sheet models suggest that the GrIS was smaller than present during the early to middle Holocene thermal optimum (9.5 to 5.5 ka) (86, 87) and began to re-advance during the cooler Neoglacial period (~5 ka), reaching its maximum extent in many places during the Little Ice Age and causing a GMSL lowering of ~0.2 m (88).

Over the past ~7 ky, RSL has fallen in many near-field areas that were formerly covered by major ice sheets because of glacial isostatic rebound (Fig. 2A), whereas RSL in intermediate- and far-field regions reflects changes in GMSL, proglacial forebulge collapse, and hydro-isostatic loading (89, 90), with deltaic regions being further influenced by compaction (Fig. 2, B to D). Equatorial and Southern Hemisphere RSL reconstructions record a mid-Holocene highstand at ~6 ka of a few decimeters to several meters (91, 92) (Fig. 2, E to H) that is a consequence of the GIA effect known as equatorial siphoning (89, 90).

Sea-level reconstructions from salt marshes bordering the North Atlantic region reveal regional decimeter-scale variability on multidecadal to millennial time scales over the past ~2 ky (81, 93) (Fig. 2I) that reflect ice-sheet loss and coupled atmosphere-ocean variability (94). Late-Holocene ice-margin reconstructions for the AIS suggest little change (84, 85, 95), whereas those for the GrIS suggest general advance (86–88). The clearest signal in geological and long tide gauge records is the transition from low rates of change during the last ~2 ky (order of tenths of mm year$^{-1}$) to modern rates (order of mm year$^{-1}$) in the late 19th to early 20th centuries, although the spatial manifestation of this change is variable (1, 81).

Discussion and future challenges

Recent interdisciplinary studies on sea-level and ice-sheet change during previous warm periods confirm that there is a strong sensitivity of polar ice-sheet mass loss (and associated sea-level rise) to higher insolation forcing and polar temperatures with similar or higher GHG forcing (Fig. 4). This understanding of polar ice-sheet response to climate change has improved considerably through an increase in the number and geographic distribution of RSL reconstructions, better ice-sheet constraints, and the recognition that several geophysical processes cause spatially complex patterns across time scales spanning tens to millions of years (Figs. 1 and 2). Spatial variability in Holocene RSL from GIA has long been recognized (89), but widely disparate estimates of the magnitude of GMSL change associated with any given warm period have only recently been documented as similarly reflecting the
spatial variability in RSL resulting from GIA and dynamic topography (e.g., see MIS 5e estimates in Fig. 3).

Despite the many advances in our understanding of GMSL during past warm periods, a number of challenges remain. Foremost among these is the need to continue to improve the accuracy and precision of the age and elevation of RSL indicators. In particular, now that we recognize that time-dependent GIA effects will affect the elevation of shorelines depending on whether they formed early or late in the interglacial period, improving chronologies to resolve the timing of observations during RSL highstands becomes all that much more critical to inferring the GMSL signal (51, 61). Although the precision of U-Th dating has improved, complications related to open-system diagenesis and former seawater U-isotope composition continue to limit precision and accuracy of marine carbonate U-Th ages [see review by (96)].

Translating site-specific data into a global context requires better constraints on the properties of the solid Earth that strongly influence RSL on long time scales, especially the viscosity and density structure of the mantle. Increased spatial and temporal density of past RSL and ice-sheet margins will improve ice and Earth models, whereas use of three-dimensional (3D) GIA models may improve predictions in areas where lateral heterogeneities are important (97).

Determining equilibrium GMSL for different forcing scenarios with use of paleodata requires consideration of factors beyond understanding the peak value of GMSL, polar (or global) temperature, or atmospheric CO₂ during a given time period. Given lags in the climate system, simple correlation between such climate parameters can be misleading because the extremes may not be synchronous over a 10-ky-long interglacial period. Peak temperatures attained during previous warm periods may also be dependent on the length of the interglacial period (41, 46), suggesting that warm periods lasting several ky may not represent equilibrium conditions for the climate-cryosphere system. Moreover, ice sheets in different hemispheres may not respond in phase.

In the case of MIS 11 and 5e, warm climates and higher GMSL resulted largely from orbital forcing that changes the intensity of solar insolation at high latitudes. Insolation forcing is quite different from the relatively uniform global forcing of increased atmospheric CO₂ that will influence future sea levels. Furthermore, regional sea and air temperatures exert the most direct influence on mass loss from a polar ice sheet, suggesting that past global mean temperature may not be the best predictor for past GMSL. More detailed regional climate reconstructions thus represent an additional target to improve understanding of the climatic forcing required for specific ice-sheet response scenarios. Improved chronological frameworks are also required that can directly relate sea-level and climate reconstructions, particularly to facilitate comparisons between reconstructions that rely on radiometric versus orbitally tuned chronologies.

In the following, we summarize our current understanding of magnitudes, rates, and sources of sea-level change during warm periods and their associated uncertainties and conclude with the recommendation to develop comprehensive databases that will be required to optimally capture the temporal and spatial variability of past high sea levels and their sources.

**Magnitudes of GMSL rise**

The best agreement in the magnitude of peak GMSL is between multiple GIA-corrected coastal records for MIS 5e and 11, but the uncertainty introduced from the combined influence of GIA and dynamic topography going farther back in time presently precludes us from placing a firm estimate on GMSL during the MPWP interglacial peaks. Given the constraints from existing data and models of MPWP temperatures and ice-sheet reconstructions combined with the evidence for stronger GHG forcing, we hypothesize that MPWP sea levels would have exceeded those attained during MIS 11 and 5e. This provides a lower bound of +6 m with the distinct potential for higher GMSL, particularly if the GrIS, WAIS, and EAlS experienced simultaneous mass loss. This hypothesis should be tested in the context of additional data and modeling constraints.

In comparison to GIA-corrected coastal records, paired δ¹⁸O-Mg/Ca records have greater uncertainty and in several cases have poor accuracy, suggesting that the current state of these geochemical methods makes them unable to provide confident, quantitative estimates of peak GMSL during these periods (Fig. 4). The planktic δ¹⁸O from the Red Sea (15, 75, 84) is an innovative approach to overcoming some of the limitations of the benthic δ¹⁸O or paired δ¹⁸O-Mg/Ca methods and remains one of the most valuable, semi-continuous records of sea-level change across century to millennial time scales. However, it carries uncertainties that are common to both the coastal reconstructions (such as GIA corrections) as well as the other δ¹⁸O-based reconstructions, some of which will magnify farther back in time. Targeted GIA modeling of the Red Sea basin, in particular, to derive isostatic corrections for the Hanish Sill during these interglacial highstands, would be a valuable undertaking toward the use of this reconstruction to interpret GMSL.

**Rates of GMSL rise**

Rates of sea-level change for previous warm periods when sea level was higher than present range from highly uncertain to completely unconstrained depending on the time period, yet this is perhaps the most societally relevant information the paleorecord can provide for predicting and adapting to future sea-level change. MIS 5e holds the greatest potential for information on past rates of sea-level change in a world with higher GMSL. Although MIS 5e sea-level oscillations appear abrupt in the sedimentary record, uncertainties in dating and interpretation of RSL markers have prevented precise quantification of this abruptness beyond an indication that GMSL rose (and fell) one to several meters over one to a few ky [e.g., (74)]. Hence, deriving rates of interest on societal time scales (cm year⁻¹, m century⁻¹), such as can be achieved in Holocene reconstructions, remains a primary challenge.

---

**Fig. 4.** Peak global mean temperature, atmospheric CO₂, maximum GMSL, and source(s) of meltwater. Light blue shading indicates uncertainty of sea-level maximum. Black vertical lines represent GMSL reconstructions from combined field observations and GIA modeling; gray dashed lines are δ¹⁸O-based reconstructions. Red pie charts over Greenland and Antarctica denote fraction (not location) of ice retreat. Although the peaks in temperature, CO₂, and sea level within each time period may not be synchronous and ice sheets are sensitive to factors not depicted here, significantly higher sea levels were attained during MIS 5e and 11 when atmospheric CO₂ forcing was significantly lower than present. See tables S3 and S4 for data and sources.
Box 1. Methods of reconstructing past sea level and ice volume.

Sea-level reconstructions: In our analysis of sea-level reconstructions, we consider two categories separately: those that are derived from δ18O of marine carbonates (hereafter termed δ18O-proxy records) and those based on direct observational evidence of sea level or shoreline elevation (hereafter termed coastal records).

There are three types of δ18O-proxy records used to estimate former GMSL: (i) benthic δ18O, which comprises a combined signal of temperature and global ice volume (106); (ii) benthic or planktic δ18O in foraminifera or ostracods, paired with a proxy that can independently constrain the temperature component embedded in this signal (31, 54); and (iii) planktic δ18O from evaporative marginal seas, which is transformed into a RSL signal by using hydraulic models that constrain the salinity of surface waters as a function of sea level [e.g., (56)]. Each of these geochemical approaches entails certain assumptions and uncertainties, and we note that in the case of isolated basins, such as the Red Sea or Mediterranean (56, 107), additional corrections and assumptions about regional hydrology, relative humidity, and tectonic stability and isostatic response of the sill depth must also be made in addition to assumptions about how sea surface temperature changed.

Coastal records of former sea level reflect RSL rather than GMSL. Each RSL record has uncertainties in its age and elevation that are primarily a function of the dating technique(s) and the nature of the geologic archive, respectively. Coastal records include geomorphological features, shallow-water corals, and salt-marsh records that directly track the elevation of RSL through time. To associate changes in RSL to GMSL, one must quantify and correct for geophysical processes (described below) that may contribute significantly to RSL at the site (Fig. 1). GIA is arguably the most important of these processes because it can influence the present-day elevation of sea-level indicators from any time period in the past. Additional processes operate on more specific space and time scales and thus only become important at those particular scales of analysis (Fig. 1). For example, interannual to multidecadal ocean-atmosphere interactions, such as the North Atlantic Oscillation or the Pacific Decadal Oscillation, can cause RSL fluctuations of up to several decimeters. Such processes are important when interpreting highly resolved reconstructions, such as those from instrumental records or from late-Holocene geologic archives. On the other hand, dynamic topography resulting from flow in Earth’s mantle can dominate the RSL signal over time scales of millions of years and produce high-amplitude (meter- to multiscale) variability.

Glacial isostatic adjustment: The water mass transfer between the ice sheets and oceans during glacial-interglacial cycles causes changes in Earth’s shape, gravity field, and rotation that create a distinct spatial pattern to RSL across the globe (108) (Fig. 2). These GIA processes dominate the spatial variability in sea-level change over millennial time scales during the Quaternary and are also a significant (several mm year−1) background component to recent (historical) sea-level change (Fig. 2). GIA is also an important contributor to RSL for older time periods, in part because of the fact that solid Earth is continuing to isostatically adjust to the most recent deglaciation (18).

GIA models are primarily driven by an a priori ice model that defines the volume and geographic extent of grounded ice through time, which is then used to solve for the elevation of the shorelines and the changes in the height of the ocean floor and sea surface—the latter being affected by changes in gravity. The ice model is constrained by field evidence on the timing, thickness, and geographic extent of ice, as well as by constraints from observations of the elevation of RSL through time from sites close to (“near-field”) and far from (“far-field”) the former ice sheets [e.g., (109–111)]. The other key component of GIA models is an Earth model that is defined by layer thicknesses, viscosity, elasticity, and density of Earth’s interior, which in turn dictate the way in which Earth’s surface responds and deforms to the assumed ice-load history. Typically, global GIA models are run by using a single, laterally homogeneous Earth model. Regional studies are often used to explore variations in the Earth model that provide a better fit to data in that area. More recently, 3D GIA models have been applied to examine the influence of lateral Earth structure on RSL changes [e.g., (97, 112)].

GIA models generally simulate global patterns in RSL change because of ice melting over relatively short time scales (10s to 100s of years). In this case, the solid Earth response is dominantly elastic, and so accurately defining the viscosity structure, a primary source of GIA model uncertainty, becomes less important. Because the elastic properties of Earth are relatively well defined from seismic investigations, the computed RSL response can be accurately interpreted in terms of melt-source location. In other words, the spatial pattern of RSL change can be used to “fingerprint” melt sources, hence the use of the term “sea-level fingerprinting” for this application. This technique has been applied to rapid melting events in the geological record (102, 105), 20th century sea-level change (113, 114), and regional projections of future change (13, 115).

Dynamic topography: Lateral motion of Earth’s tectonic plates (lithosphere) is due to buoyancy-driven viscous flow of the mantle that can also lead to vertical motion of Earth’s surface through plate convergence and consequent lithospheric deformation (e.g., orogenesis). However, the same viscous flow of the mantle also results in normal stresses at the solid Earth-ocean/atmosphere interface, which can produce a vertical deflection of this interface of up to a few km in amplitude (116–118). This component of Earth’s topography is associated with convectively supported vertical stresses and is termed “dynamic topography.” (The same term is also used in oceanography to describe undulations in the sea surface associated with flow within the ocean.) As the distribution of density structure within the mantle evolves with time, so does the surface dynamic topography, resulting in significant changes in both local RSL and GMSL on time scales of 1 to 100 Ma (119–121). Vertical motion associated with dynamic topography also results in lateral stresses that can cause significant crustal deformation and thus additional vertical motion at Earth’s surface (122, 123). This additional component of vertical motion has yet to be considered in calculations of dynamic topography applied to sea-level studies.

Numerical models of mantle flow [e.g., (124)] are used to compute dynamic topography and predict how it evolves with time. The two primary inputs to these models are a 3D density anomaly field to drive the simulation of material flow in the mantle, as well as a radial viscosity profile that governs the rate of flow at a given depth in the mantle. The 3D density field is estimated from seismic models of Earth’s internal velocity structure, which reflects both thermal and chemical variations within the mantle. The scaling from seismic velocity structure to density structure is not straightforward because it involves assumptions regarding the cause of seismic velocity variations [thermal, chemical, or both (119, 120)]. It is this uncertainty in defining the input density structure, as well as our relatively poor knowledge of Earth’s viscosity structure, that limits the accuracy of modeled sea-level changes resulting from variations in dynamic topography.

Ice sheets: Ice-sheet reconstructions are informed primarily by direct observations of ice-margin and thickness data and nearby marine sediment and RSL records. IRD and sediment provenance from geochemical analyses in marine cores are particularly useful for extending ice-sheet reconstructions farther back in time beyond the last deglaciation (i.e., >21 ka).

Resolving meter-scale sea-level variability during the MIS 5e highstand will require precise chronologies and stratigraphy of sea-level indicators, as well as improved precision in the vertical uncertainties of RSL indicators. Coastal geomorphological features, although compelling, are difficult to date. Fossil corals can potentially provide robust chronologies, if challenges associated with the interpretation of postdepositional alteration of U-Th isotope measurements can be overcome (96). Further, fossil corals are usually associated with significant vertical uncertainties.
Hemisphere ice-sheet retreat during the last deglaciation is often cited as providing an upper bound for potential future GMSL rise (e.g., ~+6 m century\(^{-1}\)) during meltwater pulse 1A (MWP-1A) (99). The nature and forcing of that retreat, however, is expected to be significantly different from that of the warm-climate polar ice sheets and thus not directly analogous. Nevertheless, there are aspects of past sea-level changes during glacial maxima or during deglacial transitions that are relevant to understanding interglacial GMSL change. For example, recent modeling identified a positive feedback involving “saddle collapse” of the Laurentide Ice Sheet melting that is capable of delivering a substantial influx of meltwater as a possible mechanism contributing to MWP-1A (100). Saddle collapse between the southern and northern domes of the GrIS may be important for driving smaller-scale, but rapid, GMSL change during warm interglacial periods. Similarly, there is increasing evidence that ocean thermal forcing played an important role in the extent of grounded ice during the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), in particular, is an important parameter for GIA model predictions of RSL change. Most importantly, transcending conventional paradigms of sea-level reconstructions and adopting the concept of geographic variability imparted by dynamic physical processes will continue to lead to significant advances in our understanding of GMSL rise in a warming world.
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